

Dear Fellow Freedom Fighters,

On Saturday, I gave talk to a groups of people at Gynea. The organizers had called my talk, "*You and the law*" which I changed to "*You are the law*" and referred to a scene in a Paul Newman movie called, "*The Verdict*", in which Paul Newman was a lawyer and he addressed a Jury by telling them, "*You are the law*".

We must all hold firm to the fact that we are all free men and free men are *sui juris* which means "*I am the law*."because "*sui*" means "*I am*" and "*juris*" means "*oath; law; right*". Twelve free men, being *sui juris*, constitutes one *jury*because a *jury* is a place of; a collection of; a state of *oaths/laws/rights*.

To be a *juror*, one must swear an *oath* - otherwise, there is no pledge to do their duty as a conscientious *juror*. A *juror*, although they know they are, individually, *sui juris*, know they can not judge another sovereign human who is created by Godtherefore, they must ask "*So help me God*" as an essential part of that *oath* then they are a member of a congregation who are gathered in the Lord's name. Knowing that they have asked God to guide them in their judging the facts and the laws presented to them, their judgment must still be unanimous for there to be consensus, ie: for there to be a lawful judgment by the *jury* as a whole.

Each *juror* must swear to vote for a judgment which is a verdict, ie: a true saying, from their conscience.

Every free man has the inalienable right to trial by *jury*.

A court has no *jurisdiction* to proceed summarily, ie: without a *jury*, unless both parties to the action gives their clear and unequivocal consent to be without a *jury*.

No court is a lawful court, ie: a place where *justice* is administered, when a free man is denied that right to trial by *jury* because *justice* is "*the protection of rights and the punishment of wrongs*". When the right to trial by *jury* is denied, "*any awards, doings and proceedings are not to be drawn into consequence or example*", ie: they are illegal and void.

The group I spoke to at Gynea was the A.P.P. - the Australian Protectionist Party - which is affiliated with the B.N.P. - the British National Party of Britain. They say to want to remedy *injustices*....but they are not prepared to take their issues to the place where *justice* is administered, ie: to a court and trial by *jury*.

They only want to concentrate on political solutions by having a political party to create and enforce statutory laws through the inferior jurisdiction of bureaucratic parliaments. By not wanting to go to trials by *juries*, they are not part of any solutionthey are part of the problem. By dividing people into factions, eg: Anglo-Saxons, etc., they are exacerbating the wrongs in our society that have come about by self-interests.

The Bible teaches us that all law hangs on loving God and loving one's neighbour as oneself.

English and American courts prominently display the words, "**God and My Right**" and "**In God We Trust**".

In descending order of jurisdiction, there is (1) Theocracy - God Rules; (2) Democracy - People Rule; and lastly (3) Bureaucracy - Officials Rule, ie: people do not rule God and officials do not rule people. Any individuals or groups seeking to give pre-eminence of people over God, or bureaucrats/officials/representatives over people, are not only wrong....they are up to no good.

The truth is simple and it will make us free.

If you want *justice*, then go to the place where *justice* is administered....and never, never, never accept anything inferior to trial by *jury*, ie: to the lawful judgment of your equals..

Yours sincerely,

John Wilson.

PS: Wear a *Green Ribbon* for Justice.