

Untitled

GARY BYLES &

SHERIFF CHRIS ALLEN

Office of the Sheriff of New South Wales
c/o postmaster@bailiff.com.au

Dear GARY BYLES & CHRIS ALLEN
RE: John Wilson

You may both think that you can illegally act against one man and nobody will give a damn, hey you're just doing your job. Well bank robbers go and do a job too but it does not mean that it is legal to do so. I also remember the excuse that I was just doing my job was not a valid or legal defence at Nuremberg.

For anybody in authority to claim that there is no right to 'trial by jury' is a traitor and a fraud. No man regardless of what authority or jurisdiction he claims to have has any right whatsoever to deny peoples rights.

Constitutional Convention Debates – Tuesday April 20, 1897

Mr. ISAACS:

I quite agree with my hon. friend that the words are not needed. I have been extremely puzzled to know what the words mean to-day. There might have been some meaning in them and some necessity for them 100 years ago, when judges exercised such extreme powers, and when they tried to override trial by jury.

AND:

Mr. SYMON:

This amendment was moved in deference to the wish of Sir Samuel Griffith. At the same time I admit there is great force in what my hon. friends have said, and it would be better to leave the words out and leave Parliament or the Executive to make arrangements. I ask leave to withdraw the amendment.

Leave given.

Clause as read passed.

Clause 78-The trial of all indictable offences cognizable by any court established under the authority of this Constitution shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the State where the offence has been committed and when not committed within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the Parliament prescribes.

Constitutional Convention Debates – Friday 10 September, 1897

Mr. SYMON

I only continue this debate because I agree with what fell from my hon. Friend Mr. Curruthers that it might be to the interest of Australian union in this colony if the convention did not appear in any way to slur over the discussion of this matter. But I candidly confess that, in my view, it is a discussion which appears to be more suited to a debating society than to a serious political Convention, because I regard the equality of Australian states-and I limit my remarks to Australia as one of those essential political facts which we ought no more to be called upon to justify than we are called upon to justify the principles of Magna Carta, or of Trial by Jury.

So Gentlemen, you will see that the founders of this once great nation of ours ensured for us through our Constitution that the Magna Carta and Trial by Jury is something that is our inherited right. As Mr. Wilson quite clearly points out re the Magna Carta it is the duty of all of us to guard against the tyranny of 'evil councillors and judges'. The Constitution makes it very clear that it is a duty of every court, every government official and in fact every person not to act outside the limitations set down in the constitution and that the constitution must be read and understood in its entirety, including the 10,000 or so pages of debates. I will assume that none of you have actually bothered familiarising yourselves with these great documents otherwise you would not be acting in your present manner.

The Constitution also clearly defines the levels of laws applicable in this country, Try reading the Annotated Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia by Quick and Garran and you will see very clearly that the highest form of law in this country are the imperial acts and the lowest form of law is statute law with Common law being above stature as a level or class of law. The Constitution also clearly defines the role of those imperial acts.

Untitled

Section 108

[Continuity of State laws: transition arrangements]

Every law in force in a Colony which has become or becomes a State, and relating to any matter within the powers of the Parliament of the Commonwealth shall, subject to this Constitution, continue in force in the State; and, until provision is made in that behalf by the Parliament of the Commonwealth, the Parliament of the State shall have such powers of alteration and of repeal in respect of any such law as the Parliament of the Colony had until the Colony became a State.

Now for your benefit I shall list one of those imperial acts taken from the Imperial Acts Application Act 1980 in the state of Victoria. (My home state) and yes those imperial acts apply to all states not just Victoria.

[1297] 25 Edward I (Magna Carta) c. XXIX

No freeman shall be taken or imprisoned, or be disseised of his freehold, or liberties or free customs, or be outlawed or exiled, or any other wise destroyed; nor will we pass upon him, nor condemn him, but by lawful judgement of his peers, or by the law of the land. We will sell to no man, we will not deny or defer to any man either justice or right.

Please take special note, 'but by lawful judgement of his peers' and regardless of what any judge will tell you, the law of the land is not parliament made law, or judge made law, it has been and always will be the Common Law. The word or used in the above act is actually a miss translation from the original Latin and should translate closer to the words 'in fact ' but if you have not bothered with familiarizing yourself with the constitution I very much doubt you would have bothered with Latin translations.

You are both now placed on notice that it is your duty to not act outside the law regardless of what some pretend judge tells you. You are also on notice that your actions are being scrutinized by tens of thousands of Australians that are in fact awake up to what your masters are trying to do to us and we are watching very closely. We will take back this once great nation from those self appointed hidden masters in numbers that I am sure you have no comprehension of. Those who continue to commit treachery in the face of what they know in their soul to be the right thing I am sure will be dealt with at that time with the full force of the real law. Rest assured though, you will not be denied the right to be tried by a jury of twelve before you are punished for committing treachery.

It is a call to you to act within the confines of the constitution and the common law. To look inside and contemplate what is right in your own heart. To do right by all men and not just follow blindly those who instruct you as pawns in their game. I know that deep down you must struggle with your job and in being responsible for such injustice and hardship. Maybe I am wrong and you get off on it but I surely for the love of God hope you are human and compassionate. The question is, will you do what is right, or, continue to serve the evil that you allow to control you.

As Jesus said, 'for those with eyes, let them see'. Do you have eyes that can see the truth? That truth is that evil has always tried to enslave men. History has shown that the evil never wins in the end. Look at Hitler and his ilk. Look at the Roman Empire. Those who try to enslave men and those who assist them history tells us will always get their punishment.

God bless

Without Prejudice

Andrew Moyle
353 Huntly-Fosterville Rd.
Bagshot
Victoria.